

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

ELECTRONIC

10/14/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,915	01/26/2004	Jose Vicente Murillo Garrido	9256-20US(003/PCT/02-V)	3364	
570 PANITCH SC	7590 10/14/201 HWARZE BELISARIO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
	T STREET, SUITE 220 IIA, PA 19103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1628			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

usptomail@panitchlaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/764,915	MURILLO GARRIDO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sabiha Qazi	1628		

	Sabiha Qazi	1628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
	<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);							
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	,	· ·						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
	/Sabiha Qazi/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1628						
	. Illiary Examiner, Art o	520						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's response were re-considered and found persuasive therefore rejection on record will be withdrawn. The arguments about withdrawn claims are not found persuasive because they are drawn to different invention as has been explained in the previous actions. Applicant should cancel non elected invention. In order to expedite the prossecution Applicant may consider calling the examiner to discuss the remaining issues.